



Paper Type: Original Article

(T, S)-Norms Over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Implicative and Positive Implicative Ideals in BCK-Algebra

Bavanari Satyanarayana¹ , Anjaneyulu Naik Kalavath^{1,*} 

Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522 510, India; drbsn63@yahoo.co.in, nelu.fuzzy@gmail.com.

Citation:

Received: 05 May 2024

Revised: 23 August 2024

Accepted: 16 October 2024

Satyanarayana, B., & Kalavath, A. N. (2024). (T,S)-norms over intuitionistic fuzzy implicative and positive implicative ideals in BCK-Algebra. *Uncertainty discourse and applications*, 1(1), 29-40.

Abstract

In this paper, we use the notion of T-norm \mathfrak{T} and S-norm \mathfrak{S} to introduce intuitionistic fuzzy implicative ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideals, in BCK-algebras. Next we study the links between them and investigate properties related properties under (T, S)-norms.


Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy implicative ideals, Intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideals, T-norm and S-norm.

1 | Introduction

In 1996, Imai and Iseki [1] introduced the notion of BCK-algebras. After the introduced of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [2], several researches were conducted on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets. Many authors considered the fuzzification of ideals and subalgebras in BCK-algebras [3–6]. Triangular norms and co-norms(S-norm) are in operations which generalize the logical conjunction and logical disjunction to fuzzy logic. Recently, Rasuli [7] introduced a study on T-norms over fuzzy implicative ideal, and also positive implicative in the context of BCK-algebras. Satyanarayana et al.'s [8–12] studied a numerus ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras.

In this paper, as using (T, S)-norms \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S} , we define under (T, S)-norms fuzzy implicative ideals, under (T, S)-norms fuzzy positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras. Next we investigate them with under (T, S)-norms intuitionistic implicative ideals, under (T, S)-norms intuitionistic positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras. Also we investigate them under Intersection, Union, Cartesian product and homomorphisms (image and pre image) and we study related properties.

 Corresponding Author: nelu.fuzzy@gmail.com

 <https://doi.org/10.48313/uda.vi.76>



Licensee System Analytics. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

2 | Preliminaries

In this section we cite the fundamental definitions and results that will be used in the sequel. For more details we refer readers to [8–10], [13–16].

Definition 1. By a BCK-algebra we mean a nonempty set \mathfrak{A} with a binary operation δ and a constant 0 satisfying the axioms:

$$\mathcal{P}1: ((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta (x_0 \delta \beta_0)) \leq (\beta_0 \delta \eta_0),$$

$$\mathcal{P}2: (x_0 \delta (x_0 \delta \eta_0)) \leq \eta_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}3: x_0 \leq x_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}4: x_0 \leq \eta_0 \text{ and } \eta_0 \leq x_0 \text{ imply that } x_0 = \eta_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}5: 0 \leq x_0, \forall x_0, \eta_0, \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

A partial ordering \leq on \mathfrak{A} can be defined by $x_0 \leq \eta_0$ if and only if $x_0 \delta \eta_0 = 0$. In any BCK-algebra \mathfrak{A} the following holds:

$$\mathcal{P}6: x_0 \delta 0 = x_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}7: x_0 \delta \eta_0 \leq x_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}8: (x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta \beta_0 = (x_0 \delta \beta_0) \delta \eta_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}9: (x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta (\eta_0 \delta \beta_0) \leq x_0 \delta \eta_0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}10: x_0 \delta (x_0 \delta (x_0 \delta \eta_0)) = x_0 \delta \eta_0$$

$$\mathcal{P}11: \text{if } x_0 \leq \eta_0, \text{ then } x_0 \delta \beta_0 \leq \eta_0 \delta \beta_0 \text{ and } \beta_0 \delta \eta_0 \leq \beta_0 \delta x_0, \forall x_0, \eta_0, \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Definition 2. A BCK-algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be implicative if $x_0 = x_0 \delta (\eta_0 \delta x_0), \forall x_0, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 3. A BCK-algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be “positive implicative” if $(x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta \beta_0 = (x_0 \delta \beta_0) \delta (\eta_0 \delta \beta_0) \forall x_0, \eta_0, \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 4. A non-empty subset \mathfrak{I} of a BCK-algebra \mathfrak{A} is called an “implicative ideal” of $(\mathcal{I}1) 0 \in \mathfrak{I}, (\mathcal{I}2) (x_0 \delta (\eta_0 \delta x_0)) \delta \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $\beta_0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ imply that $x_0 \in \mathfrak{I} \forall x_0, \eta_0, \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 5. A non-empty subset \mathfrak{I} of a BCK-algebra \mathfrak{A} is called a “positive implicative ideal” of $(\mathcal{P}1) 0 \in \mathfrak{I}, (\mathcal{P}2) (x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $\eta_0 \delta \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ imply that $x_0 \delta \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{I} \forall x_0, \eta_0, \beta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 6. A mapping $f: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ of BCK-algebras is called a homomorphism if $f(x_0 \delta \eta_0) = f(x_0) \delta f(\eta_0), \forall x_0, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 7. Let \mathfrak{A} be an arbitrary set. A fuzzy subset of \mathfrak{A} , we mean function from \mathfrak{A} into $[0, 1]$. The set of all fuzzy subsets of \mathfrak{A} is called the $[0, 1]$ -power set of \mathfrak{A} and is denoted $[0, 1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$. For a fixed $s, t \in [0, 1]$, the set $\xi_s = \{x_0 \in \mathfrak{A} : \xi(x_0) \geq s\}$ and $\zeta_t = \{x_0 \in \mathfrak{A} : \zeta(x_0) \leq t\}$ are called an upper level of ξ and lower level of ζ .

Definition 8. Let ς be a function from set \mathfrak{A} into set \mathfrak{K} such that $\xi \in [0, 1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\zeta \in [0, 1]^{\mathfrak{K}}, \forall x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{K}$, we define $\varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0) = \sup\{\xi(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0\}$ and $\varsigma^{-1}(\zeta)(x_0) = \zeta(\varsigma(x_0))$.

Definition 9. A T-norm \mathfrak{T} is a function $\mathfrak{T}: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ having the following four properties:

($\mathfrak{T}1$) $\mathfrak{T}(x_0, 1) = x_0$ (neutral element), ($\mathfrak{T}2$) $\mathfrak{T}(x_0, \eta_0) \leq \mathfrak{T}(x_0, \beta_0)$ if $\eta_0 \leq \beta_0$ (monotonicity), ($\mathfrak{T}3$) $\mathfrak{T}(x_0, \eta_0) = \mathfrak{T}(\eta_0, x_0)$ (commutativity), ($\mathfrak{T}4$) $\mathfrak{T}(x_0, \mathfrak{T}(\eta_0, \beta_0)) = \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{T}(x_0, \eta_0), \beta_0)$ (associativity), $\forall x_0, \eta_0, \beta_0 \in [0, 1]$.

It is clear that if $x_{01} \geq x_{02}$ and $\eta_{01} \geq \eta_{02}$, then $\mathfrak{T}(x_{01}, \eta_{01}) \geq \mathfrak{T}(x_{02}, \eta_{02})$.

Example 1.

- I. Standard intersection T-norm $\mathfrak{I}_m(x_0, y_0) = \min\{x_0, y_0\}$.
- II. Bounded sum T-norm $\mathfrak{I}_b(x_0, y_0) = \max\{0, x_0 + y_0 - 1\}$.
- III. Algebraic product T-norm $\mathfrak{I}_p(x_0, y_0) = x_0 y_0$.
- IV. Drastic T-norm $\mathfrak{I}_D(x_0, y) = \begin{cases} y_0 & \text{if } x_0 = 0, \\ x_0 & \text{if } y_0 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- V. Nilpotent minimum T-norm $\mathfrak{I}_{nm}(x_0, y) = \begin{cases} \min\{x_0, y_0\} & \text{if } x_0 + y_0 > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- VI. Hamacher product T-norm $\mathfrak{I}_{H_0}(x_0, y_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_0 = y_0 = 0, \\ \frac{x_0 y_0}{x_0 + y_0 - x_0 y_0} & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The drastic T-norm is the pointwise smallest T-norm and the minimum is the pointwise largest T-norm: $\mathfrak{I}_D(x_0, y_0) \leq \mathfrak{I}(x_0, y_0) \leq \mathfrak{I}_{\min}(x_0, y_0) \forall x_0, y_0 \in [0,1]$. We say that \mathfrak{I} be idempotent if $\forall x_0 \in [0,1]$ we have $\mathfrak{I}(x_0, x_0) = x_0$.

Definition 10. By S-norm \mathfrak{S} is a function $\mathfrak{S}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ having the following four properties: ($\mathfrak{S}1$) $\mathfrak{S}(x_0, 0) = x_0$ (neutral element), ($\mathfrak{S}2$) $\mathfrak{S}(x_0, y_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}(x_0, z_0)$ if $y_0 \leq z_0$ (monotonicity), ($\mathfrak{S}3$) $\mathfrak{S}(x_0, y_0) = \mathfrak{S}(y_0, x_0)$ (commutativity), ($\mathfrak{S}4$) $\mathfrak{S}(x_0, \mathfrak{S}(y_0, z_0)) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(x_0, y_0), z_0)$ (associativity) $\forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in [0,1]$, It is clear that if $x_{01} \leq x_{02}$ and $y_{01} \leq y_{02}$, then $\mathfrak{S}(x_{01}, y_{01}) \leq \mathfrak{S}(x_{02}, y_{02})$.

Example 2.

- I. Standard union S-norm $\mathfrak{S}_m(x_0, y_0) = \max\{x_0, y_0\}$.
- II. Bounded sum S-norm $\mathfrak{S}_b(x_0, y_0) = \min\{1, x_0 + y_0\}$.
- III. Algebraic sum S-norm $\mathfrak{S}_s(x_0, y_0) = x_0 + y_0 - x_0 y_0$.
- IV. Drastic S-norm $\mathfrak{S}_D(x_0, y_0) = \begin{cases} y_0 & \text{if } x_0 = 0, \\ x_0 & \text{if } y_0 = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The drastic S-norm is the pointwise smallest S-norm and the maximum is the pointwise largest S-norm: $\mathfrak{S}_D(x_0, y_0) \geq \mathfrak{S}(x_0, y_0) \geq \mathfrak{S}_{\max}(x_0, y_0) \forall x_0, y_0 \in [0,1]$. We say that \mathfrak{S} be idempotent if $\forall x_0 \in [0,1]$ we have $\mathfrak{S}(x_0, x_0) = x_0$.

Definition 11. Let $\xi, \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and define the intersection of ξ and ζ is denoted by $\xi \cap \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ as $(\xi \cap \zeta)(x_0) = \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_0), \zeta(x_0)) \forall x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 12. Let $\xi, \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and define the union of ξ and ζ is denoted by $\xi \cup \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ as $(\xi \cup \zeta)(x_0) = \mathfrak{S}(\xi(x_0), \zeta(x_0)) \forall x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 13. Let $\xi \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{B}}$. Define the Cartesian product of ξ and ζ is denoted by $\xi \times \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}}$ as $(\xi \times \zeta)(x_0, y_0) = \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_0), \zeta(y_0))$ and $(\xi \times \zeta)(x_0, y_0) = \mathfrak{S}(\xi(x_0), \zeta(y_0)) \forall (x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}$.

Lemma 1. Let \mathfrak{I} and \mathfrak{S} be a (T,S)-norms. Then $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{I}(x_0, y_0), \mathfrak{I}(w_0, z_0)) = \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{I}(x_0, w_0), \mathfrak{I}(y_0, z_0))$ and $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(x_0, y_0), \mathfrak{S}(w_0, z_0)) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(x_0, w_0), \mathfrak{S}(y_0, z_0)) \forall x_0, y_0, w_0, z_0 \in [0,1]$.

Definition 14. We say that $\xi \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a “fuzzy implicative ideal” of \mathfrak{A} under T-norm \mathfrak{I} if it satisfies the following inequalities: (FII $\mathfrak{I}1$) $\xi(0) \geq \xi(x_0)$, (FII $\mathfrak{I}2$) $\xi(x_0) \geq \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_0 \text{ I } (y_0 \text{ I } x_0)), \xi(z_0)) \forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Denote by FII $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{A})$, the set of all “fuzzy implicative ideals” of \mathfrak{A} under T-norm \mathfrak{I} .

Definition 15. We say that $\xi \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a “fuzzy implicative ideal” of \mathfrak{A} under S-norm \mathfrak{S} if it satisfies the following inequalities: (FII \mathfrak{S} 1) $\xi(0) \leq \xi(x_0)$, (FII \mathfrak{S} 2) $\xi(x_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\xi(x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)), \xi(z_0)) \quad \forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Denote by FII \mathfrak{S} (\mathfrak{A}), the set of all “fuzzy implicative ideals” of \mathfrak{A} under S-norm \mathfrak{S} .

Definition 16. Define $\xi \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a “fuzzy positive implicative ideal” of \mathfrak{A} under T-norm \mathfrak{T} if it satisfies the following inequalities: (FPII \mathfrak{T} 1) $\xi(0) \geq \xi(x_0)$, (FPII \mathfrak{T} 2) $\xi(x_0 \dot{\dashv} z_0) \geq \mathfrak{T}(\xi((x_0 \dot{\dashv} y_0) \dot{\dashv} z_0), \xi(y_0 \dot{\dashv} z_0)) \quad \forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Denote by FPII \mathfrak{T} (\mathfrak{A}), the set of all “fuzzy positive implicative ideals” of \mathfrak{A} under T-norm \mathfrak{T} .

Definition 17. Define $\xi \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a “fuzzy positive implicative ideal (FPII \mathfrak{S})” of \mathfrak{A} under S-norm \mathfrak{S} if it satisfies the following inequalities: (FPII \mathfrak{S} 1) $\xi(0) \leq \xi(x_0)$, (FPII \mathfrak{S} 2) $\xi(x_0 \dot{\dashv} z_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\xi((x_0 \dot{\dashv} y_0) \dot{\dashv} z_0), \xi(y_0 \dot{\dashv} z_0)) \quad \forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Denote by FPII \mathfrak{S} (\mathfrak{A}), the set of all “fuzzy positive implicative ideals” of \mathfrak{A} under S-norm \mathfrak{S} .

3 | Intuitionistic Fuzzy Implicative Ideals, Positive Implicative Ideals of BCK-Algebras under (T, S)-Norms

Throughout this paper, $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ always mean two BCK-algebras unless otherwise specified.

Definition 18. We say that $\xi, \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an IFII of \mathfrak{A} under (T, S)-norm \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S} if it satisfies the following inequalities:

- (IFII \mathfrak{S} 1) $\xi(0) \geq \xi(x_0)$, and $\zeta(0) \leq \zeta(x_0)$,
- (IFII \mathfrak{S} 2) $\xi(x_0) \geq \mathfrak{T}(\xi(x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)), \xi(z_0))$,
- (IFII \mathfrak{S} 3) $\zeta(x_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\zeta(x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)), \zeta(z_0)) \quad \forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Denote by IFII \mathfrak{S} (\mathfrak{A}), the set of all IFII of \mathfrak{A} under (T, S)-norm \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S} .

Example 3. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \{0,1,2\}$ be a set given by the following cayley table:

Table 1. BCK-Algebra.

$\dot{\dashv}$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Then $(\mathfrak{A}, \dot{\dashv}, 0)$ is a BCK-algebra. Define the “intuitionistic fuzzy implicative ideal” $\xi: (\mathfrak{A}, \dot{\dashv}, 0) \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\zeta: (\mathfrak{A}, \dot{\dashv}, 0) \rightarrow [0,1]$ as: $\xi(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & \text{if } x_0 = 0,2 \\ 0.4 & \text{if } x_0 = 1,3 \end{cases}$ and $\zeta(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0.7 & \text{if } x_0 = 1,3 \\ 0.3 & \text{if } x_0 = 0,2 \end{cases}$.

Let $\mathfrak{T}(a, b) = \mathfrak{T}_p(a, b) = ab$ and $\mathfrak{S}(a, b) = \mathfrak{S}_s(a, b) = a + b - ab. \quad \forall a, b \in [0,1]$, then $\xi \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 1. Let $\xi, \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S} be idempotent. Then $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ if and only if the sets $\xi_s = \{x_0 \in \mathfrak{A} : \xi(x_0) \geq s\}$ and $\zeta_t = \{x_0 \in \mathfrak{A} : \zeta(x_0) \leq t\}$ are either empty or an “implicative ideals” of \mathfrak{A} , for every $s, t \in [0,1]$.

Proof: Let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus $\xi(0) \geq \xi(x_0) \geq s$ so $0 \in \xi_s$ and $\zeta(0) \leq \zeta(x_0) \leq t$ so $0 \in \zeta_t$. Also let $(x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)) \dot{\dashv} z_0 \in \xi_s, z_0 \in \xi_s$ and $(x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)) \dot{\dashv} z_0 \in \zeta_t, z_0 \in \zeta_t$. Then $\xi(x_0) \geq \mathfrak{T}(\xi((x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)) \dot{\dashv} z_0), \xi(z_0)) \geq \mathfrak{T}(s, s) = s$. Thus $x_0 \in \xi_s$. And $\zeta(x_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\zeta((x_0 \dot{\dashv} (y_0 \dot{\dashv} x_0)) \dot{\dashv} z_0), \zeta(z_0))$

$\leq \mathfrak{S}(t, t) = t$. Thus $x_0 \in \zeta_t$. Then ξ_s and ζ_t will be an “implicative ideal” of \mathfrak{A} for every $s, t \in [0,1]$. Conversely, let ξ_s and ζ_t are either empty or an “implicative ideal” of \mathfrak{A} for every $s, t \in [0,1]$. Let $s =$

$\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} x_0)\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(z_0)\right)$ and $t = \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} x_0)\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(z_0)\right)$, with $(x_0 \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} x_0)) \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$, $z_0 \in \xi_s$ and $(x_0 \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} x_0)) \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$, $z_0 \in \zeta_t$. Then $x_0 \in \xi_s$ and $x_0 \in \zeta_t$ thus $\xi(x_0) \geq s = \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} x_0)\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(z_0)\right)$. And thus $\zeta(x_0) \leq t = \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} x_0)\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(z_0)\right)$. So $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Definition 19. Define $\xi, \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ are an IFPII of \mathfrak{A} under (T, S)-norm \mathfrak{I} and \mathfrak{S} if it satisfies the following inequalities:

- (IFPII $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}$ 1) $\xi(0) \geq \xi(x_0)$ and $\zeta(0) \leq \zeta(x_0)$,
- (IFPII $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}$ 2) $\xi(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$,
- (IFPII $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}$ 3) $\zeta(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right) \forall x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Denote by $\text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$, the set of all IFPII of \mathfrak{A} under (T, S)-norm \mathfrak{I} and \mathfrak{S} .

Table 2. BCK-Algebra.

$\check{\vee}$	0	d	e	f
0	0	0	0	0
d	d	0	0	d
e	e	d	0	e
f	f	f	f	0

Then $(\mathfrak{A}, \check{\vee}, 0)$ is a BCK-algebra. Define the ‘‘intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideal’’ $\xi : (\mathfrak{A}, \check{\vee}, 0) \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\zeta : (\mathfrak{A}, \check{\vee}, 0) \rightarrow [0,1]$ as: $\xi(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0.9 & \text{if } x_0 = 0, \\ 0.7 & \text{if } x_0 = d, e, f, \end{cases}$ and $\zeta(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } x_0 = d, e, f, \\ 0.3 & \text{if } x_0 = 0. \end{cases}$

Let $\mathfrak{I}(a, b) = \mathfrak{I}_p(a, b) = ab$, and $\mathfrak{S}(a, b) = \mathfrak{S}_s(a, b) = a + b - ab \forall a, b \in [0,1]$, then $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 2. Let $\xi, \zeta \in [0,1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{S}$ be idempotent. Then $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ if and only if the set $\xi_s = \{x_0 \in \mathfrak{A} : \xi(x_0) \geq s\}$ and $\zeta_t = \{x_0 \in \mathfrak{A} : \zeta(x_0) \leq t\}$ are either empty or a ‘‘positive implicative ideal’’ of \mathfrak{A} , for every $s, t \in [0,1]$.

Proof: let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\xi(0) \geq \xi(x) \geq s$, so $0 \in \xi_s$ and $\zeta(0) \leq \zeta(x_0) \leq t$ so $0 \in \zeta_t$. Also let $(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$, $y_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$ and $(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$, $y_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$. Then $\xi(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right) \geq \mathfrak{I}(s, s) = s$. Thus $x_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$. And $\zeta(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right) \leq \mathfrak{S}(t, t) = t$. Thus $x_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$. Then ξ_s will be a ‘‘positive implicative ideal’’ of \mathfrak{A} for every $s, t \in [0,1]$. Conversely, let ξ_s, ζ_t are either empty or an ‘‘positive implicative ideal’’ of \mathfrak{A} for every $s, t \in [0,1]$. Let $s = \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$ and $t = \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$, with $(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$, $y_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$ and $(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$, $y_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$. Then $x_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \xi_s$ and $y_0 \check{\vee} z_0 \in \zeta_t$. Thus $\xi(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \geq s = \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$ and $\zeta(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \leq t = \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$. So $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 3. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFI}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\xi(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \xi(z_0)\right)$ and $(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right), \zeta(z_0)\right)$ for any $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof: let $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$. As properties $\mathcal{P}8$ and $\mathcal{P}9$ of *Definition 1* we get that $\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \leq (x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \check{\vee} y_0 = (x_0 \check{\vee} y_0) \check{\vee} z_0$ and from *Proposition 2* we get that $\xi\left(\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right) \geq \xi\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right)$, and $\zeta\left(\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right) \leq \zeta\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} y_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right)$. Now by hypothesis if we get $y_0 = z_0$, and $z_0 = y_0 \check{\vee} z_0$ we obtain that $\xi(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right), \xi(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$, and $\zeta(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(\left(\left(x_0 \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} z_0\right) \check{\vee} (y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right), \zeta(y_0 \check{\vee} z_0)\right)$.

$\eta_0, \mathbf{b} = \mathfrak{z}_0$ in hypothesis then $\xi(\mathbf{x}_0) = \xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes 0) \geq \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\eta), \xi(\mathfrak{z}_0))$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}_0) = \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes 0) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\zeta(\eta), \zeta(\mathfrak{z}_0))$. Thus from *Proposition 5* we get that $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFI}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. As $\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \eta_0\right)\right) \boxtimes 0 = 0$, so $\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \eta_0\right)\right) \leq 0 \forall \mathbf{x}_0, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Using hypothesis will gives us $\xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \geq \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes \eta_0, \xi(0)) = \xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes \eta_0$, and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes \eta_0, \zeta(0)) = \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes \eta_0$. Therefore $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 6. Let $\xi, \zeta \in [0, 1]^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right) \boxtimes \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \forall \mathbf{x}_0, \eta_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{A}$. If $\xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0) \geq \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{a}), \xi(\mathbf{b}))$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\zeta(\mathbf{a}), \zeta(\mathbf{b}))$, then $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof: let $\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right) \boxtimes \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \forall \mathbf{x}_0, \eta_0, \mathfrak{z}_0, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right) \boxtimes \mathbf{a}\right) \boxtimes \mathbf{b} = 0$. Now $\xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) = \xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes 0 = \xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \geq \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{a}), \xi(\mathbf{b}))$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) = \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \boxtimes 0 = \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\xi(\mathbf{a}), \xi(\mathbf{b}))$. And as *Proposition 5* we will have that $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

4 | Intersection, Union, Cartesian Product and Homomorphism

Proposition 7. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then $\xi \cap \zeta, \xi \cup \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof: let $\mathbf{x}_0, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

- I. $(\xi \cap \zeta)(0) = \mathfrak{F}(\xi(0), \zeta(0)) \geq \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0)) = (\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$, thus $(\xi \cap \zeta)(0) \geq (\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$, and $(\xi \cup \zeta)(0) = \mathfrak{G}(\xi(0), \zeta(0)) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0)) = (\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus $(\xi \cup \zeta)(0) \leq (\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$.
- II. $(\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0)) \geq \mathfrak{F}\left(\mathfrak{F}\left(\xi\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \xi(\mathfrak{z}_0)\right), \mathfrak{F}\left(\zeta\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \zeta(\mathfrak{z}_0)\right)\right) = \mathfrak{F}\left(\mathfrak{F}\left(\xi\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \zeta\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right)\right), \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathfrak{z}_0), \zeta(\mathfrak{z}_0))\right)$ (*Lemma 1*) = $\mathfrak{F}\left((\xi \cap \zeta)\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), (\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathfrak{z}_0)\right)$, so $(\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq \mathfrak{F}((\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0), (\xi \cap \zeta)(\eta_0))$.
- III. $(\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathfrak{G}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0)) \leq \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathfrak{G}\left(\xi\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \xi(\mathfrak{z}_0)\right), \mathfrak{G}\left(\zeta\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \zeta(\mathfrak{z}_0)\right)\right) = \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathfrak{G}\left(\xi\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \zeta\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right)\right), \mathfrak{G}(\xi(\mathfrak{z}_0), \zeta(\mathfrak{z}_0))\right)$ (*Lemma 1*) = $\mathfrak{G}\left((\xi \cup \zeta)\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes (\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathbf{x}_0)\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), (\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathfrak{z}_0)\right)$, so $(\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq \mathfrak{G}((\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0), (\xi \cup \zeta)(\eta_0))$. Then $\xi \cap \zeta, \xi \cup \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 8. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then $\xi \cap \zeta, \xi \cup \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof: let $\mathbf{x}_0, \eta_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

- I. $(\xi \cap \zeta)(0) = \mathfrak{F}(\xi(0), \zeta(0)) \geq \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0)) = (\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus $(\xi \cap \zeta)(0) \geq (\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$, and $(\xi \cup \zeta)(0) = \mathfrak{G}(\xi(0), \zeta(0)) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0)) = (\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$, thus $(\xi \cup \zeta)(0) \leq (\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0)$.
- II. $(\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0) = \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0))$
 $\geq \mathfrak{F}\left(\mathfrak{F}\left(\xi\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \xi(\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0)\right), \mathfrak{F}\left(\zeta\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \zeta(\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0)\right)\right)$
 $= \mathfrak{F}\left(\mathfrak{F}\left(\xi\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), \zeta\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right)\right), \mathfrak{F}(\xi(\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0), \zeta(\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0))\right)$ (*Lemma 1*)
 $= \mathfrak{F}\left((\xi \cap \zeta)\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), (\xi \cap \zeta)(\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0)\right)$
 so $(\xi \cap \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0) \geq \mathfrak{F}\left((\xi \cap \zeta)\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \eta_0\right) \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0\right), (\xi \cap \zeta)(\eta_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0)\right)$.
- III. $(\xi \cup \zeta)(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0) = \mathfrak{G}(\xi(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0), \zeta(\mathbf{x}_0 \boxtimes \mathfrak{z}_0))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\xi((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta z_0), \xi(\eta_0 \delta z_0)\right), \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta z_0), \zeta(\eta_0 \delta z_0)\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\xi((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta z_0), \zeta((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta z_0)\right), \mathfrak{S}\left(\xi(\eta_0 \delta z_0), \zeta(\eta_0 \delta z_0)\right)\right) \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left((\xi \cup \zeta)((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta z_0), (\xi \cup \zeta)(\eta_0 \delta z_0)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

so $(\xi \cup \zeta)(x_0 \delta z_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left((\xi \cup \zeta)((x_0 \delta \eta_0) \delta z_0), (\xi \cup \zeta)(\eta_0 \delta z_0)\right)$. Then $\xi \cap \zeta, \xi \cup \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 9. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\varpi, \vartheta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{B})$. Then $\xi \times \varpi, \zeta \times \vartheta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})$.

Proof: let $(x_0, \eta_0) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}$. Then $(\xi \times \varpi)(0,0) = \mathfrak{I}(\xi(0), \varpi(0)) \geq \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_0), \varpi(\eta_0))$
 $= (\xi \times \varpi)(x_0, \eta_0)$. Thus $(\xi \times \varpi)(0,0) \geq (\xi \times \varpi)(x_0, \eta_0)$, and $(\zeta \times \vartheta)(0,0) = \mathfrak{S}(\zeta(0), \vartheta(0))$
 $\leq \mathfrak{S}(\zeta(x_0), \vartheta(\eta_0)) = (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_0, \eta_0)$. Thus $(\zeta \times \vartheta)(0,0) \leq (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_0, \eta_0)$. Also let $x_{0_i} \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\eta_{0_i} \in \mathfrak{B}$
for $i = 1,2,3$. Now $(\xi \times \varpi)(x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) = \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_{0_1}), \varpi(\eta_{0_1}))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi(x_{0_1} \delta (x_{0_2} \delta x_{0_1})), \xi(x_{0_3})\right), \mathfrak{I}\left(\varpi(\eta_{0_1} \delta (\eta_{0_2} \delta \eta_{0_1})), \varpi(\eta_{0_3})\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{I}\left(\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi(x_{0_1} \delta (x_{0_2} \delta x_{0_1})), \varpi(\eta_{0_1} \delta (\eta_{0_2} \delta \eta_{0_1}))\right), \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi(x_{0_3}), \varpi(\eta_{0_3})\right)\right) \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
&= \mathfrak{I}\left((\xi \times \varpi)\left((x_{0_1} \delta (x_{0_2} \delta x_{0_1})), (\eta_{0_1} \delta (\eta_{0_2} \delta \eta_{0_1}))\right), (\xi \times \varpi)(x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{I}\left((\xi \times \varpi)\left((x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) \delta ((x_{0_2}, \eta_{0_2}) \delta (x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}))\right), (\xi \times \varpi)(x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right). \text{ Thus } (\xi \times \varpi)(x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \mathfrak{I}\left((\xi \times \varpi)\left((x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) \delta ((x_{0_2}, \eta_{0_2}) \delta (x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}))\right), (\xi \times \varpi)(x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right), \text{ and}$$

$$(\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) = \mathfrak{S}(\zeta(x_{0_1}), \vartheta(\eta_{0_1}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta(x_{0_1} \delta (x_{0_2} \delta x_{0_1})), \zeta(x_{0_3})\right), \mathfrak{S}\left(\vartheta(\eta_{0_1} \delta (\eta_{0_2} \delta \eta_{0_1})), \vartheta(\eta_{0_3})\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta(x_{0_1} \delta (x_{0_2} \delta x_{0_1})), \vartheta(\eta_{0_1} \delta (\eta_{0_2} \delta \eta_{0_1}))\right), \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta(x_{0_3}), \vartheta(\eta_{0_3})\right)\right) \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left((\zeta \times \vartheta)\left((x_{0_1} \delta (x_{0_2} \delta x_{0_1})), (\eta_{0_1} \delta (\eta_{0_2} \delta \eta_{0_1}))\right), (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left((\zeta \times \vartheta)\left((x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) \delta ((x_{0_2}, \eta_{0_2}) \delta (x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}))\right), (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left((\zeta \times \vartheta)\left((x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) \delta ((x_{0_2}, \eta_{0_2}) \delta (x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}))\right), (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right).$$

Then $\xi \times \varpi, \zeta \times \vartheta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})$.

Proposition 10. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\varpi, \vartheta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{B})$. Then $\xi \times \varpi, \zeta \times \vartheta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})$.

Proof: let $(x_0, \eta_0) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}$. Then $(\xi \times \varpi)(0,0) = \mathfrak{I}(\xi(0), \varpi(0)) \geq \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_0), \varpi(\eta_0))$
 $= (\xi \times \varpi)(x_0, \eta_0)$. Thus $(\xi \times \varpi)(0,0) \geq (\xi \times \varpi)(x_0, \eta_0)$, and $(\zeta \times \vartheta)(0,0) = \mathfrak{S}(\zeta(0), \vartheta(0))$
 $\leq \mathfrak{S}(\zeta(x_0), \vartheta(\eta_0)) = (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_0, \eta_0)$. Thus $(\zeta \times \vartheta)(0,0) \leq (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_0, \eta_0)$. Also let $x_{0_i} \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\eta_{0_i} \in \mathfrak{B}$ for
 $i = 1,2,3$. Then $(\xi \times \varpi)\left((x_{0_1}, \eta_{0_1}) \delta (x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_3})\right) = (\xi \times \varpi)(x_{0_1} \delta x_{0_3}, \eta_{0_1} \delta \eta_{0_3})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathfrak{I}(\xi(x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \varpi(\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})) \\
&\geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi((x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02}) \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \xi(x_{02} \dot{\vee} x_{03})\right), \mathfrak{I}\left(\varpi((\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02}) \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}), \varpi(\eta_{02} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{I}\left(\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi((x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02}) \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \varpi((\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02}) \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right), \mathfrak{I}\left(\xi(x_{02} \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \varpi(\eta_{02} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right)\right) \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
&= \mathfrak{I}\left((\xi \times \varpi)\left(\left((x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02}) \dot{\vee} x_{03}\right), \left((\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02}) \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}\right)\right), (\xi \times \varpi)\left(x_{02} \dot{\vee} x_{03}, \eta_{02} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{I}\left((\xi \times \varpi)\left(\left((x_{01}, \eta_{01}) \dot{\vee} (x_{02}, \eta_{02})\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right), (\xi \times \varpi)\left(x_{02}, \eta_{02}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right), \text{ so} \\
&(\xi \times \varpi)\left(x_{01}, \eta_{01}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03}) \geq \\
&\mathfrak{I}\left((\xi \times \varpi)\left(\left((x_{01}, \eta_{01}) \dot{\vee} (x_{02}, \eta_{02})\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right), (\xi \times \varpi)\left(x_{02}, \eta_{02}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right), \\
&\text{and } (\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(x_{01}, \eta_{01}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03}) = (\zeta \times \vartheta)(x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{03}, \eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}) = \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta(x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \vartheta(\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right) \\
&\leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta((x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02}) \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \zeta(x_{02} \dot{\vee} x_{03})\right), \mathfrak{S}\left(\vartheta((\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02}) \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}), \vartheta(\eta_{02} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta((x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02}) \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \vartheta((\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02}) \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right), \mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta(x_{02} \dot{\vee} x_{03}), \vartheta(\eta_{02} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03})\right)\right) \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left((\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(\left((x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02}) \dot{\vee} x_{03}\right), \left((\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02}) \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}\right)\right), (\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(x_{02} \dot{\vee} x_{03}, \eta_{02} \dot{\vee} \eta_{03}\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}\left((\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(\left((x_{01}, \eta_{01}) \dot{\vee} (x_{02}, \eta_{02})\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right), (\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(x_{02}, \eta_{02}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right) \\
&(\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(x_{01}, \eta_{01}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03}) \leq \\
&\mathfrak{S}\left((\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(\left((x_{01}, \eta_{01}) \dot{\vee} (x_{02}, \eta_{02})\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right), (\zeta \times \vartheta)\left(x_{02}, \eta_{02}\right) \dot{\vee} (x_{03}, \eta_{03})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\xi \times \varpi, \zeta \times \vartheta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})$.

Proposition 11. If $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\varsigma: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. Then $\varsigma(\xi), \varsigma(\zeta) \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{B})$.

Proof: let $x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\eta_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $\varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0$. Now $\varsigma(\xi)(0) = \sup\{\xi(0) \mid 0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(0) = 0\}$

$\geq \sup\{\xi(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0\} = \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0)$. Thus $\varsigma(\xi)(0) \geq \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0)$ and

$\varsigma(\zeta)(0) = \inf\{\zeta(0) \mid 0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(0) = 0\} \leq \inf\{\zeta(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0\} = \varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_0)$. Thus $\varsigma(\zeta)(0) \leq \varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_0)$.

Also let $x_0, x_{01}, x_{02} \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0, \varsigma(x_{01}) = \eta_{01}, \varsigma(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}$. Then

$$\varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0) = \sup\{\xi(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0\}$$

$$\geq \sup\left\{\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left(x_0 \dot{\vee} (x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02})\right), \xi(x_{02})\right) \mid x_0, x_{01}, x_{02} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0, \varsigma(x_{01}) = \eta_{01}, \varsigma(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}\right\}$$

$$= \mathfrak{I}\left(\sup\left\{\xi\left(x_0 \dot{\vee} (x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02})\right) \mid x_0, x_{01} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0, \varsigma(x_{01}) = \eta_{01}\right\}, \sup\{\xi(x_{02}) \mid x_{02} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}\}\right)$$

$$= \mathfrak{I}\left(\sup\left\{\xi\left(x_0 \dot{\vee} (x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02})\right) \mid x_0, x_{01} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma\left(x_0 \dot{\vee} (x_{01} \dot{\vee} x_{02})\right) = \eta_0 \dot{\vee} (\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02})\right\}, \right.$$

$$\left. \sup\{\xi(x_{02}) \mid x_{02} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}\}\right) = \mathfrak{I}\left(\varsigma(\xi)\left(\eta_0 \dot{\vee} (\eta_{01} \dot{\vee} \eta_{02})\right), \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_{02})\right),$$

therefore $\varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\varsigma(\xi)\left(\eta_0 \check{\delta} (\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_0)\right), \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_{0_2})\right)$ and $\varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_0) = \inf\{\zeta(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0\}$

$$\leq \inf\left\{\mathfrak{S}\left(\zeta\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right), \zeta(x_{0_2})\right) \mid x_0, x_{0_1}, x_{0_2} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0, \varsigma(x_{0_1}) = \eta_{0_1}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}\right\}$$

$$= \mathfrak{S}\left(\inf\left\{\zeta\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right) \mid x_0, x_{0_1} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta_0, \varsigma(x_{0_1}) = \eta_{0_1}\right\}, \inf\{\zeta(x_{0_2}) \mid x_{0_2} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}\}\right)$$

$$= \mathfrak{S}\left(\inf\left\{\zeta\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right) \mid x_0, x_{0_1} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right) = \eta_0 \check{\delta} (\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_0)\right\}, \inf\{\zeta(x_{0_2}) \mid x_{0_2} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}\}\right) = \mathfrak{S}\left(\varsigma(\zeta)\left(\eta_0 \check{\delta} (\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_0)\right), \varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_{0_2})\right).$$

Therefore

$$\varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\varsigma(\zeta)\left(\eta_0 \check{\delta} (\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_0)\right), \varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_{0_2})\right).$$

Therefore $\varsigma(\xi), \varsigma(\zeta) \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{K})$.

Proposition 12. If $\varpi, \vartheta \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{K})$ and $\varsigma: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. Then $\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi), \varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta) \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof: let $x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)(x_0) = \varpi(\varsigma(0)) \geq \varpi(\varsigma(x_0)) = \varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)(x_0)$ and

$\varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_0) = \vartheta(\varsigma(0)) \leq \vartheta(\varsigma(x_0)) = \varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_0)$. Let $x_0, x_{0_1}, x_{0_2} \in \mathfrak{A}$. As $\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)(x_0) = \varpi(\varsigma(x_0))$

$\geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\varpi\left(\varsigma(x_0) \check{\delta} (\varsigma(x_{0_1}) \check{\delta} \varsigma(x_0))\right), \varpi(\varsigma(x_{0_2}))\right) = \mathfrak{I}\left(\varpi\left(\varsigma\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right)\right), \varpi(\varsigma(x_{0_2}))\right)$

$= \mathfrak{I}\left(\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right), \varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)(x_{0_2})\right)$, so $\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)(x) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right), \varsigma^{-1}(\varpi)(x_{0_2})\right)$, and

$\varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_0) = \vartheta(\varsigma(x_0)) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\vartheta\left(\varsigma(x_0) \check{\delta} (\varsigma(x_{0_1}) \check{\delta} \varsigma(x_0))\right), \vartheta(\varsigma(x_{0_2}))\right)$

$= \mathfrak{S}\left(\vartheta\left(\varsigma\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right)\right), \vartheta(\varsigma(x_{0_2}))\right) = \mathfrak{S}\left(\varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right), \varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_{0_2})\right)$, so

$\varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_0) \leq \mathfrak{S}\left(\varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(x_0 \check{\delta} (x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_0)\right), \varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_{0_2})\right)$. Therefore $\varsigma^{-1}(\varpi), \varsigma^{-1}(\vartheta) \in \text{IFII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 13. If $\xi, \zeta \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\varsigma: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. Then $\varsigma(\xi), \varsigma(\zeta) \in \text{IFPII}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{K})$.

Proof: let $x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\eta \in \mathfrak{K}$ with $\varsigma(x_0) = \eta$. Now $\varsigma(\xi)(0) = \sup\{\xi(0) \mid 0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(0) = 0\}$

$\geq \sup\{\xi(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta\} = \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0)$, thus $\varsigma(\xi)(0) \geq \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_0)$ and $\varsigma(\zeta)(0) = \inf\{\zeta(0) \mid 0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(0) = 0\}$

$\leq \inf\{\zeta(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_0) = \eta\} = \varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_0)$, thus $\varsigma(\zeta)(0) \leq \varsigma(\zeta)(\eta_0)$. Also let $x_{0_1}, x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\varsigma(x_{0_1}) = \eta_{0_1}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}, \varsigma(x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_3}$. Then

$\varsigma(\xi)(\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}) = \sup\{\xi(x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) \mid x_{0_1}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_1}) = \eta_{0_1}, \varsigma(x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_3}\}$

$\geq \sup\left\{\mathfrak{I}\left(\xi\left((x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_{0_2}) \check{\delta} x_{0_3}\right), \xi(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3})\right) \mid x_{0_1}, x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_1}) = \eta_{0_1}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}, \varsigma(x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_3}\right\}$

$= \mathfrak{I}\left(\sup\left\{\xi\left((x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_{0_2}) \check{\delta} x_{0_3}\right) \mid x_{0_1}, x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_1}) = \eta_{0_1}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}, \varsigma(x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_3}\right\}, \sup\{\xi(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) \mid x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}, \varsigma(x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_3}\}\right)$

$\sup\{\xi(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) \mid x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_2}) = \eta_{0_2}, \varsigma(x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_3}\}$

$= \mathfrak{I}\left(\sup\left\{\xi\left((x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_{0_2}) \check{\delta} x_{0_3}\right) \mid x_{0_1}, x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma\left((x_{0_1} \check{\delta} x_{0_2}) \check{\delta} x_{0_3}\right) = (\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_2}) \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}\right\}, \sup\{\xi(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) \mid x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_2} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}\}\right)$

$\sup\{\xi(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) \mid x_{0_2}, x_{0_3} \in \mathfrak{A}, \varsigma(x_{0_2} \check{\delta} x_{0_3}) = \eta_{0_2} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}\}$

$= \mathfrak{I}\left(\varsigma(\xi)\left((\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_2}) \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}\right), \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_{0_2} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3})\right).$

Therefore $\varsigma(\xi)(\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}) \geq \mathfrak{I}\left(\varsigma(\xi)\left((\eta_{0_1} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_2}) \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3}\right), \varsigma(\xi)(\eta_{0_2} \check{\delta} \eta_{0_3})\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(\zeta)(\eta_{01} \checkmark \eta_{03}) &= \inf\{\zeta(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03}) \mid x_{01}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}, \zeta(x_{01}) = \eta_{01}, \zeta(x_{03}) = \eta_{03}\} \\
&\leq \inf\left\{\zeta\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right), \zeta\left(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right\} \mid x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}, \zeta(x_{01}) = \eta_{01}, \zeta(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}, \zeta(x_{03}) = \eta_{03} \\
&= \zeta\left(\inf\left\{\zeta\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right\} \mid x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}, \zeta(x_{01}) = \eta_{01}, \zeta(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}, \zeta(x_{03}) = \eta_{03}\right), \\
&\inf\{\zeta(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}) \mid x_{02}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}, \zeta(x_{02}) = \eta_{02}, \zeta(x_{03}) = \eta_{03}\} \\
&= \zeta\left(\inf\left\{\zeta\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right\} \mid x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}, \zeta\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right) = \left(\eta_{01} \checkmark \eta_{02}\right) \checkmark \eta_{03}\right), \\
&\inf\{\zeta(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}) \mid x_{02}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}, \zeta(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}) = \eta_{02} \checkmark \eta_{03}\} \\
&= \zeta\left(\zeta\left(\left(\eta_{01} \checkmark \eta_{02}\right) \checkmark \eta_{03}\right), \zeta(\zeta)(\eta_{02} \checkmark \eta_{03})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\zeta(\zeta)(\eta_{01} \checkmark \eta_{03}) \leq \zeta\left(\zeta\left(\left(\eta_{01} \checkmark \eta_{02}\right) \checkmark \eta_{03}\right), \zeta(\zeta)(\eta_{02} \checkmark \eta_{03})\right)$.

Therefore $\zeta(\xi), \zeta(\zeta) \in \text{IFPIIT}\zeta(\mathfrak{K})$.

Proposition 14. If $\varpi, \vartheta \in \text{IFPIIT}\zeta(\mathfrak{K})$ and $\zeta: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. Then $\zeta^{-1}(\varpi), \zeta^{-1}(\vartheta) \in \text{IFPIIT}\zeta(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof: let $x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\zeta^{-1}(\varpi)(0) = \varpi(\zeta(0)) \geq \varpi(\zeta(x_0)) = \zeta^{-1}(\varpi)(x_0)$, and $\zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)(0) = \vartheta(\zeta(0))$

$$\leq \vartheta(\zeta(x_0)) = \zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)(x_0). \text{ Let } x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03} \in \mathfrak{A}. \text{ As } \zeta^{-1}(\varpi)(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03}) = \varpi\left(\zeta(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03})\right)$$

$$= \varpi\left(\zeta(x_{01}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right) \geq \mathfrak{T}\left(\varpi\left(\left(\zeta(x_{01}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{02})\right) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right), \varpi\left(\zeta(x_{02}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right)\right)$$

$$= \mathfrak{T}\left(\varpi\left(\zeta(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right), \varpi\left(\zeta(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03})\right)\right) = \mathfrak{T}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varpi)\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right), \zeta^{-1}(\varpi)\left(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right), \quad \text{so}$$

$$\zeta^{-1}(\varpi)\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03}\right) \geq \mathfrak{T}\left(\zeta^{-1}(\varpi)\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right), \zeta^{-1}(\varpi)\left(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right), \text{ and } \zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03}\right)$$

$$= \vartheta\left(\zeta(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03})\right) = \vartheta\left(\zeta(x_{01}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right) \leq \zeta\left(\vartheta\left(\left(\zeta(x_{01}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{02})\right) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right), \vartheta\left(\zeta(x_{02}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right)\right)$$

$$= \zeta\left(\vartheta\left(\zeta(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}) \checkmark \zeta(x_{03})\right), \vartheta\left(\zeta(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03})\right)\right) = \zeta\left(\zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right), \zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right), \quad \text{so}$$

$$\zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{03}\right) \leq \zeta\left(\zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(\left(x_{01} \checkmark x_{02}\right) \checkmark x_{03}\right), \zeta^{-1}(\vartheta)\left(x_{02} \checkmark x_{03}\right)\right).$$

Therefore $\zeta^{-1}(\varpi), \zeta^{-1}(\vartheta) \in \text{IFPIIT}\zeta(\mathfrak{A})$.

5 | Conclusion

This study has introduced and explored “fuzzy implicative ideals and fuzzy positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras under (T, S)-norms,” establishing connections with “intuitionistic implicative and positive implicative ideals.” The investigation of these ideals under various operations, including “intersection, union, Cartesian product, and homomorphisms,” has provided valuable insights into their properties and behavior, contributing to the advancement of BCK-algebra research and fuzzy logic.

The findings of this research have the potential to enrich the theory of “BCK-algebras and fuzzy logic,” paving the way for applications in decision-making, artificial intelligence, and other areas where uncertainty and imprecision are inherent. The introduced concepts and established relationships can serve as a foundation for further investigations, fostering advancements in algebraic structures and fuzzy set theory.

Future research directions include exploring applications of these ideals in real-world problems, investigating further properties and characterizations in different algebraic structures, and developing new approaches to

fuzzy set theory and BCK-algebras using (T, S)-norms and other mathematical tools, potentially leading to novel insights and applications.

Author Contributaion

All author equally contributed. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Imai, Y., & Iséki, K. (1966). On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XIV. *Proceedings of the japan academy*, 42(1), 19–22. <https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195522169>
- [2] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(3), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
- [3] Alcheikh, M., & Sabouh, A. (2019). A study of fuzzy ideals in BCK algebra. *Journal of mathematics research*, 11(5), 11–15. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v11n5p11>
- [4] Bae, J. U. N. Y. (1995). A note on fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras. *Mathematica japonicae*, 42(2), 333–335. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1571417124680401792>
- [5] Jun, Y. B. (1999). Characterizations of Noetherian BCK-algebras via fuzzy ideals. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 108(2), 231–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(97\)00329-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(97)00329-1)
- [6] Jun, Y. B. (1997). Finite valued fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras. *Journal of fuzzy mathematics*, 5, 111–114.
- [7] Rasuli, R. (2022). t-norms over fuzzy ideals (implicative, positive implicative) of BCK-algebras. *Mathematical analysis and its contemporary applications*, 4(2), 17–34. <https://doi.org/10.30495/mac.2021.1942817.1034>
- [8] Madhavi, U. B., Sree, V. J., Ramesh, D., & Satyanarayana, B. (2019). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Translations of Implicative Ideals of BCK-Algebra. *Gujarat research society*, 21, 528–533. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343649897>
- [9] Satyanarayana, B., Ramesh, D., & Srimannarayana, N. (2018). Direct product of finite interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BF-algebra. *International journal of engineering and technology*, 7(3.34), 631–635. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i3.34.19404>
- [10] Sree, V. J., & Satyanarayana, B. (2020). Derivations of intuitionistic fuzzy subimplicative ideals of BCK-algebras. *International journal of innovative science engineering and technology (IJSET)*, 29, 1478–1489. https://www.mililink.com/upload/article/1105441036aams_vol_206_april_2021_a15_p1147-1166_b_satyanarayana_and_u_bindu_madhavi.pdf
- [11] Satyanarayana, B., Madhavi, U. B., & Prasad, R. D. (2010). On foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy h-ideals in bck-algebras. *International mathematical forum*, 5(45), 2205–2221. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268658362>
- [12] Tarsuslu, S., & Çuvalcıoğlu, G. (2019). (T, S)-intuitionistic fuzzy algebras. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 36(4), 3645–3657. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-18103>
- [13] Abu Osman, M. T. (1987). On some product of fuzzy subgroups. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 24(1), 79–86. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(87\)90115-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90115-1)
- [14] Iséki, K., & Tanaka, S. (1978). An introduction to the theory of the BCK-algebras. *Mathematica Japonica*, 23(1), 1–26. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570572699731866880>
- [15] Jun, Y. B., & Roh, E. H. (1994). Fuzzy commutative ideals of BCK-algebras. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 64(3), 401–405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90163-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90163-5)
- [16] Meng, J. (1994). On ideals in BCK-algebras. *Math. japon*, 40, 143–154.